JOURNAL OF SEMITIC STUDIES

EDITED BY

C. E. BOSWORTH AND S. STRELCYN Editorial Assistant: M. E. J. RICHARDSON

| šmn rhs in the Samaria ostraca, by Victor Sasson | page 1 |
|---|---------|
| King Josiah and the dwd of Amos vi.10, by G. W. AHLSTRÖM | 7 |
| A striking translation technique of the Peshitta, by MOSHE A. ZIPOR | 11 |
| Some notes on Classical Arabic syntax, by A. F. L. BEESTON | 2 1 |
| Various elements ascertaining meaning in Arabic grammar, by Khalil Amaireh | 3 1 |
| Some Judaeo-Arabic fragments by Rabbi Abraham he-Ḥasīd, the Jewisl Sufi, by Paul Fenton | n 47 |
| The letter of Archbishops Mika'el and Gäbrə'el concerning the observance of Saturday, by Getatchew Haile | 73 |
| The Prophet's shirt: three versions of an Egyptian narrative ballad, by PIERRE CACHIA | 79 |
| The Amharic simple imperfect, by Monica S. Devens | 107 |
| REVIEWS | |
| E. Ullendorff, Is Biblical Hebrew a language? Studies in Semitic languages and civilisations (JAMES BARR) | 115 |
| Lothar Kopf, Studies in Arabic and Hebrew lexicography (B. S. J. ISSERLIN) | 122 |
| T. Collins, Line-forms in Hebrew poetry. A grammatical approach to the stylistic study of the Hebrew prophets (JOHN F. A. SAWYER) | 123 |
| H. Van Dyke Parunak, Linguistic density plots in Zechariah (M. E. J. RICHARDSON) | 125 |
| | |

continued on page ii of cover

UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

| B. E. Thiering, Redating the Teacher of Righteousness (ARVID S. KAPELRUD) page | 127 |
|---|-----|
| Karl-Erich Grözinger, Ich bin der Herr, dein Gott. Eine rabbinische Homelie zum Ersten Gebot (PesR 20) (Peter Schäfer) | 128 |
| Clark Hopkins, The Discovery of Dura-Europos (C. J. HEMER) | 130 |
| Sylvia Powels, Der Kalender der Samaritaner anhand des Kitāb Ḥisāb as-Sinīn und anderer Handschriften (ALAN D. CROWN) | 130 |
| Isaac Klein (tr.), The Code of Maimonides. Book Seven. The book of agriculture (STEFAN C. REIF) | 134 |
| Eliyahu Ashtor, The Jews of Moslem Spain (NORMAN A. STILLMAN) | 136 |
| George Vajda, Catalogue des manuscrits arabes. Deuxième partie. Manuscrits musulmans. Tome II (G. REX SMITH) | 140 |
| M. J. L. Young, Catalogue of oriental manuscripts. VII. Arabic mss. 301–50; VIII. Arabic mss. 351–371, Turkish mss. 1–7 (C. E. Bosworth) | 141 |
| Fuat Sezgin, Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums. Band VI. Astronomie bis ca. 430 H. (C. E. BOSWORTH) | 141 |
| Muhammad Ali al-Khuli, A contrastive transformational grammar, Arabic and English (DINHA T. GORGIS) | 142 |
| Charles Greville Tuetey, Imrulkais of Kinda, poet, circa A.D. 500-535. The poems — the life — the background (M. M. BADAWI) | 145 |
| Bernard Lewin, A vocabulary of the Hudailian poems (C. E. BOSWORTH) | 147 |
| Kamal Abu Deeb, Al-Jurjani's theory of poetic imagery (ROGER ALLEN) | 148 |
| J. Spencer Trimingham, Christianity among the Arabs in pre-Islamic times (IRFAN SHAHID) | 150 |
| Alford T. Welch and Pierre Cachia (eds.), Islam: past influence and present challenge. In honour of William Montgomery Watt (G. M. WICKENS) | 153 |
| Nehemia Levtzion (ed.), Conversion to Islam (C. F. BECKINGHAM) | 155 |
| John Wansbrough, The sectarian milieu: content and composition of Islamic salvation history (A. RIPPIN) | 164 |
| J. Spencer Trimingham, The influence of Islam upon Africa (C. E. BOSWORTH) | 167 |
| G. Rex Smith, Medieval Muslim horsemanship: a fourteenth-century Arabic cavalry manual (M. J. L. YOUNG) | 167 |
| Yedida Kalfon Stillman, Palestinian costume and jewelry (Penelope C. Johnstone) | 168 |
| Hanoch Avenary, Encounters of east and west in music (DAVID WULSTAN) | 170 |
| Gernot L. Windfuhr, Persian grammar, history and state of its study (D. N. MACKENZIE) | 171 |
| Solomon A. Birnbaum, Yiddish. A survey and a grammar (DOVID KATZ) | 172 |

REVIEWS

derived from underlying relative clauses, and if so, to identify the types of subordinate clauses in terms of their specific syntactic-semantic conditions," or again (p. 101), on modality, "Will a Persian hostess ever say to a guest šomâ bâyad az in širini boxorid 'you must eat this cake', as might her American counterpart?" Is this really the "state of the art", or what the future of Persian linguistic studies will be all about?

UNIVERSITY OF GÖTTINGEN

D.N. MACKENZIE

SOLOMON A. BIRNBAUM, Yiddish. A survey and a grammar, Manchester University Press, 1979. Pp. xii+400. Price: £ 30.

Solomon A. Birnbaum is one of the century's pioneering giants of Yiddish linguistics and philology. His achievements include the first sophisticated synchronic grammar of spoken Modern Yiddish (1918), the first descriptive study of the Hebrew and Aramaic component in Yiddish (1922), the first near-comprehensive comparative classification of Yiddish vocalism (1923) and the first key breakthrough in the reconstruction of Old Yiddish phonology by means of brilliant graphemic deduction (1932). Yiddish. A survey and a grammar (henceforth YSG) contains revised and up-dated versions of most of Birnbaum's major works on Yiddish of the past sixty years and a number of new ones as well, all appearing in English in a single handy volume. Although beautifully produced, there unfortunately are a great number of typographical errors, many of which are corrected in the author's typescript Errata which should be obtained by all who use the book. The publishers owe it to Professor Birnbaum to publish and distribute an

exhaustive listing of corrections.

Measured against Borokhov's (1913a) ambitious outline for a proposed history of the Yiddish language, Birnbaum's YSG gracefully complements Max Weinreich's (1973) History of the Yiddish language. Overlap is averted by the sharp diversity of the works of these two masters of Yiddish in research orientation, point of view and methodology. YSG begins with a sociological and linguistic survey of the various Jewish languages (pp. 3-15), the cultural structure of traditional Ashkenazi (Yiddish speaking) Jewry (pp. 16-30), and the sociocultural history of Yiddish (pp. 33-43). These sections provide the nonspecialist with a brief but profound survey of Yiddish within the context of Jewish languages and of Jewish languages within the context of world languages. Popular misconceptions and prejudices are cited and authoritatively refuted. Chapters are devoted to determining the age of Yiddish at about a thousand years (pp. 44-57), the linguistic elements of Yiddish (pp. 58-81), their synthesis (pp. 82-5) and further evolution internal to Yiddish (pp. 86-93). Transcending the usual tabulations of morphological fusion (e.g. pójər 'peasant' < German + feminising morpheme -tə < Aramaic giving Yiddish pójarta 'female peasant'; pónam 'face' < Hebrew + pluralising umlaut/suffixal -ər < German giving Yiddish pénəmər 'faces'),1 Birnbaum cites instances of cultural synthesis, e.g. Yiddish xámər 'fool' < Hebrew hămôr 'donkey'. "In the Arab orient, the donkey is an important animal and its name is not synonymous with stupidity. In the Bible the

¹ Yiddish examples are here cited in phonemic transcription of their Standard Yiddish forms.

Messiah himself is pictured as riding on one. The reason for the fall in the prestige of the donkey in Y[iddish] seems clear: it is a case of synthesis, an

oriental form wedded to an occidental meaning" (p. 83).

Birnbaum's classification of Yiddish dialects (pp. 94-105) is considerably more in concord with the empirical evidence of Yiddish dialectological research than Prilutski's (1920: 79, nos. 5-6) scheme, accepted also by M. Weinreich (1958: 164, n. 11). Most of the isoglosses sever Northeastern ("Lithuanian-White Russian") Yiddish from both Central ("Polish-Galician") Yiddish and Southeastern ("Ukrainian") Yiddish. Birnbaum is clearly justified in rejecting the grouping of Southeastern Yiddish with Northeastern Yiddish on the basis of a single isogloss (p. 98, n. 3). Readers familiar with other descriptions of Yiddish should be made aware of the author's differing terminology. Birnbaum's "East Yiddish, North" corresponds with the Northeastern Yiddish of the literature, his "East Yiddish, South East" with Southeastern Yiddish, his "East Yiddish, South West" with Central Yiddish, his "Central Yiddish" with U. Weinreich's (1964) Transcarpathian Yiddish. The now defunct Yiddish dialects of Central Europe are known as West(ern) Yiddish in all schemes.

Appendices to the Survey consist of Birnbaum's defence of the view that the language of the Cambridge Codex of 1382 (cf. Fuks 1957, Marchand 1959, M. Weinreich 1960a) is Old Yiddish rather than Middle High German (pp. 106-11); an extensive proof that the Yiddish digraphs " (double vov) and (double yud) are orthographically inherited from the Semitic alphabet rather than extensions of European models (pp. 112-26); tabulation of the systematic correspondences between Yiddish vowels and those of the stock languages using Yiddish as the point of departure (pp. 127-34); a listing of major Yiddish toponyms (pp. 135-41). The chrestomathy (pp. 143-89) includes seventy-six specimen Yiddish texts in Latin letter transcription spanning eight centuries. Birnbaum's mastery of the reconstruction of phonology from graphemic structure is evident throughout the premodern texts included. A number of highly original suggestions are made on difficult issues. Birnbaum proposes (p. 149) that the mysterious raphe over the gimel in < בּטנֿא > in the oldest known complete Yiddish sentence (dated 1272) marks consonantal nongemination rather than spirantisation to voiced velar fricative y (cf. M. Weinreich 1963: 91). From the literary point of view, Birnbaum succeeds in spanning a wide array of genres and themes encompassing the far flung development of Yiddish literature over the past eight centuries. Included are extracts from the Cambridge Codex of 1382 (no. 1), a 1396 medicinal document on blood letting (no. 7), a sixteenth century Sabbath poem (no. 15), the 1541 Bovo d'Antona of Elijah Levita (no. 20), Witzenhausen's 1677 Bible translation (no. 22), a nineteenthcentury lullaby (no. 42), a twentieth-century paper on biochemistry (no. 74), as well as numerous selections from classic and postclassic masters of Modern Yiddish poetry and prose.

The Grammar (pp. 191-307) is masterly. It contains a wealth of systematically-culled materials from the spoken language often omitted by other grammars. The mighty expressive potential of Yiddish is imparted through the positing of categories to mirror the facts of spoken Yiddish. Birnbaum is apparently the first to provide the student of Yiddish with detailed directions for writing the Yiddish alphabet (pp. 198-9), whose script differs in a number of details from that employed in modern Hebrew. Items cited in the grammar for illustrative purposes are carefully selected to reflect Yiddish specificities, e.g. natsjonalizm is glossed "cultural ethnicism" – its usual meaning for twentieth-century Yiddish speakers – rather than "nationalism"

(p. 219).

The magnificent Bibliography (pp. 309-88) comprises 1,247 entries. It is topically arranged, but items within each topical section are chronologically ordered, thereby providing valuable insights into the history of the field. The bibliography of YSG is the greatest single advance in the bibliography of Yiddish linguistic research since the appearance of Borokhov's (1913b) Biblyotek. Throughout YSG, the author's disagreements with other Yiddish scholars are discreetly stated (e.g. p. 82 n. 1; p. 98 n. 3; p. 112 n. 1; p. 339 n. 2) but he does not hesitate to use the whip where it has been richly

deserved (p. 352 n. 1).

It cannot be overlooked that YSG is a pointed challenge to "standard theory" in the field of Yiddish from a number of perspectives. Espousing an ideological framework of traditionalist Jewish orthodoxy, Birnbaum rejects the nationalist Yiddishism of secularist Jewish movements of the twentieth century and its linguistic correlates in matters of Yiddish orthography, lexicon and overall standardisation. To this day, the author insists upon his "traditionalist" Yiddish orthography which was employed by the Beys-Yankev religious school system in pre-World War II Poland but is today used virtually by him alone. It is a sophisticated system combining elements of traditional pre-modern Yiddish spelling (e.g., rather than y for [a]) with numerous diacritical devices designed to reflect the rich system of phonological oppositions of Southern Yiddish (= Central Yiddish + Southeastern Yiddish). This brings us to the major challenge of the book. Birnbaum rejects the adoption (with modifications) of Northeastern Yiddish as the basis of Standard Yiddish. Citing a 73:27 ratio of speakers favourable to the South, Birnbaum finds it "ironic that the partisans of the 'standard' all convinced democrats - should ask the majority of Yiddish speakers to switch over from their own pronunciation to that of a minority ..." (p. 100). Much of the standardising work challenged in YSG was carried out by scholars of the Yidisher visnshaftlekher institút (Yiddish Scientific Institute) known as the YIVO, founded in 1925 in Vilna, the heartland of the native speech territory of Northeastern Yiddish. Mainstream YIVO scholars such as U. Weinreich (1951) have pointed to the pedagogical benefits of standardising Yiddish pronunciation on a Northeastern Yiddish basis, e.g. the nearly perfect one-to-one correspondence between grapheme and phoneme in this dialect. Birnbaum's response to this is his interdialectal orthography. Whether one agrees with the author or not, his singularly outstanding description of Southern Yiddish in YSG is an invaluable contribution to descriptive Yiddish linguistics and a breath of fresh air in the field of normative Yiddish grammar.

While the pro-Southern bias is welcome within a modern linguistic framework sympathetic to descriptive pluralism, it is somewhat overdone in the historical parts of the volume. The terms "Yiddish" and "New East Yiddish" are often substituted for "Southern Yiddish" (e.g. pp. 72, 96, 127). The author's reluctance to incorporate Northeastern Yiddish evidence occasionally obscures his analyses. His argument that Yiddish bikn "(to)

bow" and stipn "(to) push" are more closely related to Upper German bucken and stupfen than to Central German bücken and stüpfen is opaque because Southern Yiddish has merged Proto *u, *ü and *i as unitary i while other dialects of Yiddish perserve u < *u, merging only $*\ddot{u}$ and *i as i (cf. Northeastern Yiddish búkn, štúpn). To make sense of the author's argument on p. 74, the reader will have had to know that the j in Birnbaum's transcriptions bjkn, śtjpn represents the Southern Yiddish i corresponding with Northeastern Yiddish u while his i represents the Pan-Yiddish i. In order to realise this, the nonspecialist would have to know from the table following page xii that these symbols are deciphered for Southern Yiddish on p. 203 no. 23 and 205 no. 41 respectively. He will then have to relate the first of these to the conversion table on pp. 218-19. YSG stands to be criticised most for pro-Southern Yiddish biases and its entangling complexity of transcriptional systems. These are not "deficiencies" as much as they are characteristic features of the style and philosophy of this great Yiddish scholar.

YSG contains a number of facts from Birnbaum's biography that are valuable to the history of Yiddish studies in the twentieth century. Birnbaum was the first-ever lecturer in Yiddish in a modern academic institution at the University of Hamburg in 1922 (p. 39). The first version of his dialectological classification (1918:16) was influenced by "a pre-1914 movement in Austria, which aimed at rebuilding Austria-Hungary as a structure of national cultural ... units" (p. 97, n. 2). Birnbaum coined the modern names of the digraphs in the Yiddish alphabet (p. 329, n. 1). This splendid work leaves the reader more than convinced of the author's contention that notwithstanding the monumental progress of Yiddish linguistics in our century, "what has been achieved is only a beginning in relation to what still needs to be undertaken" (p. 14).

As Solomon A.Birnbaum approaches his nineteenth birthday (24th December 1981), we warmly wish him many happy years of continued creativity in the field of scholarship he so faithfully helped to build with perseverance, talent and love.

Some minor remarks on specific points:

P. 14: The claim that traditional and secularist Yiddish "had begun to split up into two divergent languages" is perhaps exaggerated. P. 56: The contention that unitary Modern Yiddish x corresponding with German x and ϵ is a relic of Old High German times cannot be sustained as Proto x and x give distinct reflexes (x and x) in Western Yiddish (cf. e.g. Beem 1970:24, no. 5). P. 63: Western Yiddish has in all likelihood not "preserved" holem as $\bar{\delta}$. The $\bar{\delta}$ realisations of the central portions of Western Yiddish are apparently due to secondary monophthongisation of diphthongs x and x and x resulting in their merger with $\bar{\epsilon}$ and $\bar{\delta}$ respectively (cf. Katz 1979).

P. 64: The adherence to normalised Middle High German orthography is perhaps too rigid. As M. Weinreich (1960b: 69, 70; 1973: II, 357, 358) points out, it is likely that the types of Germanic with which Yiddish came into contact in its earliest history had diphthongised realisations corresponding with normalised î and û. P. 68, no. 3: A number of the cited changes of articulation could be classified as phonologically regular sound shifts, e.g. Vowel unrounding, prevelar lowering. P. 69, no. CI: "New diphthongs, probably after monophthongisation of the original ones" — I cannot see the

need to posit an intermediate monophthongal stage. P. 84, no. 1: Yiddish gója 'Gentile woman' is listed as a case of synthesis (goj + feminine morpheme -a) within Yiddish, and it has been so regarded for centuries (cf. Levita 1541) despite the attestation of גויה in the same vein in the Jerusalem Talmud (e.g. Sanhedrin IX, 27b). P. 96: To avoid confusion with the current terminology in Yiddish dialectological studies (cf. above), it might have been best to call the area intermediate between Western and Eastern Yiddish Transitional Yiddish, Middle Yiddish or Transcarpathian Yiddish rather than the ambiguous "Central Yiddish". P. 97: For integration read: P. 98: For morphemic read: phonemic. P. 98, note 3: The alleged identity of Southeastern Yiddish with Central Yiddish except for the ej || aj isogloss ("all the other phonemes ... tally") is exaggerated. Cf. Southeastern Yiddish o vs. Central Yiddish a (hont vs. hant "hand"), Southeastern Yiddish a vs. Central Yiddish ā (hant vs. hānt "today"), Southeastern Yiddish (regional) i vs. Central Yiddish ej (libn vs. léjbn "life").

P. 126: "G[erman] /ei] (joined later by [ei] < [î]) ..." Significantly, this merger never transpired in Yiddish and the argument advanced to account for the premodern orthographic identity of the two in Yiddish is difficult. Cf. Middle High German $wei\chi$ " (I) know" vs. $wi\chi$ "white" merged as Modern German $wei\beta$ but distinguished in all dialects of Yiddish — Western Yiddish $v\bar{a}s$ vs. vajs|vejs| | Central Yiddish vajs vs. $v\bar{a}s|$ | Southeastern Yiddish vejs vs. vas| | Northeastern Yiddish vejs vs. vajs. P. 127, nos. 2-3: It is not necessary to distinguish the environments "qameş before a velar" from "qameş before pharyngeal". The former subsumes both, given that Tiberian Hebrew pharyngeal b is merged with velar a (< a via spirantisation) in all varieties of Yiddish. P. 129, nos. a 129: The environments "qameş in closed monosyllable" and "qameş in closed syllable" can be collapsed to the latter.

P. 132, no. 138: The environment "shewa in the pre-penultimate or penultimate" is better stated as pretonic shewa.

REFERENCES

Beem, Hartog

1970 Jerösche. Jiddische Spreekwoorden en Zegswijzen uit het Nederlandse Taalgebied. Van Gorcum, Assen.

Birnbaum, Solomon A.

[1918] Praktische Grammatik der jiddischen Sprache für den Selbstunterricht [= Die Kunst der Polyglottie, 128]. A. Hartleben, Vienna and Leipzig.

Das hebräische und aramäische Element in der jiddischen Sprache. Gustav Engel, Leipzig.

"Übersicht über den jiddischen Vokalismus" in Zeitschrift für deutsche Mundarten, XVIII, 122-30.

"Das älteste datierte Schriftstück in jiddischer Sprache" in Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur, LVI, 11-22.

Borokhov, Ber

"B. Borokhovs plan far a geshikhte fun yidish mit a forbamerkung fun Sh. Niger" in Filologishe shriftn I [= Shriftn fun yidishn visnshaft-lekhn institut, 1 = Landoy bukh], B. A. Kletskin, Vilna 1926, 21-8.

REVIEWS

"Di biblyotek funem yidishn filolog" in Sh. Niger (ed.), Der pinkes. Yorbukh far der geshikhte fun der yidisher literatur un shprakh, far folklor, kritik un biblyografye, B. A. Kletskin, Vilna, pp. 1-68 (separate pagination at end of volume).

Fuks, L.

1957 The oldest known literary documents of Yiddish literature (c. 1382). E. J. Brill, Leiden.

Katz, Dovid

"Di ineveynikste klasifikatsye fun di mayrev yidishe dialektn".
Paper placed before the 53rd Annual Conference of the YIVO
Institute for Jewish Research, New York.

Levita, Elijah

1541 Sefer ha-Tishbi. Isny.

Marchand, James W.

1959 [Review of Fuks 1957] in Word, XV, 383-94.

Prilutski, Noyakh

1920 Tsum yidishn vokalizm. Etyudn, I [= his Yidishe dialektologishe forshungen. Materialn far a visnshaftlekher gramatik un far an etimologish verterbukh fun der yidisher shprakh, 4]. Nayer farlag, Warsaw.

Weinreich, Max

"Roshe prokim vegn mayrevdikn yidish" in Y. Mark (ed.), Yuda A. Yofe bukh, YIVO Institute for Jewish Research, New York, 158-94.

"Old Yiddish poetry in linguistic-literary research" in Word, XVI, 100-18.

1960b "Di sistem yidishe kadmen vokaln" in Yidishe shprakh, XX, 65-71.

"A yidisher zats fun far zibn hundert yor. Analiz fun gor a vikhtikn shprakhikn gefins" in yidishe shprakh, XXIII, 87-93.

1973 Geshikhte fun der yidisher shprakh. Bagrifn, faktn, metodn. IV vols. YIVO Institute for Jewish Research, New York.

Weinreich, Uriel

"Tsu der frage vegn a normirter oysshprakh" in Yidishe shprakh, XI, 26-9.

"Western traits in Transcarpathian Yiddish" in For Max Weinreich on his seventieth birthday. Studies in Jewish languages, literature, and society, Mouton, The Hague, 245-64.

OXFORD CENTRE FOR POSTGRADUATE HEBREW STUDIES

DOVID KATZ